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## Quantum Foam

Aim: construct a covariant theory on the quantum foam (fluctuating spacetime).
We consider a mesoscopic scale. Motivation:

- There exist many different microscopic models, but these can be grouped in a smaller set of mesoscopic universality classes.
- Near future experiments cannot distinguish between microscopic models, but may observe the mesoscopic effects.
- Microscopic scale: discrete path integrals

Mesoscopic scale: continuous path integrals
Typically, discrete models are more suited for numerical studies, while analytical studies are easier to perform in the continuum limit.

Problem: path integrals seem to break general covariance
Old solution (DeWitt): consider a non-trivial geometry on the configuration space of fields (jet bundle)
New solution (Second Order Geometry): extend the (co)tangent bundle to a second order (co)tangent bundle and the jet bundle to a second order jet bundle

## From Path Integrals to Itô Integrals

- The Feynman-Kac theorem provides a mathematical equivalence between the Euclidean path integral and an Itô integral along the Wiener process (a.k.a. Brownian motion).
- This equivalence can be extended to Lorentzian path integrals by considering complex extensions of the Wiener process.
Thus, a path integral over the path space $L^{2}(\Omega)$ can be written as an Itô integral over the sample space $\Omega$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{L^{2}(\Omega)} f(X) e^{-S(X(\tau))} D X(\tau)=\int_{\Omega} f(X) \mathrm{d} X(\tau, \omega) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Right hand side (Itô integral): $X:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a stochastic process that extremizes the action $\mathbb{E}[S(X)]=\int_{\Omega} S(X(\omega)) d \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ subjected to the Wiener measure $d \mathbb{P}: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$. Left hand side (path integral): For every $\omega \in \Omega$, one obtains a path $X(\cdot, \omega):[0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. The paths are weighted with the induced measure $\mathrm{d} \mu: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow[0,1]$, which is given by $\mathrm{d} \mu_{X}=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathbb{P} \circ X^{-1}\right)=e^{-S(X(\tau))} D X(\tau)$.
Consequence: in the standard path integral formulation, the individual paths $X(\cdot, \omega)$ are continuous, but neither differentiable nor causal.
Only the probabilistic average $\mathbb{E}[X]=\int_{\Omega} X(\omega) d \mathbb{P}(\omega)$ over all paths is causal.

## Remarks

- The non-differentiability of the paths is related to the non-commutativity of phase space.
- The acausality of the paths is related to the presence of negative normed eigenstates.
- The causality of the process ensures that the causality violations of the paths are exponentially suppressed on proper time intervals larger than the Compton wavelength.


## Stochastic Calculus and Quadratic Variation

In the Itô formulation differential calculus is modified

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} f & =\partial_{\mu} f \mathrm{~d} X^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} f \mathrm{~d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right], \\
\mathrm{d}[f, g] & =\partial_{\mu} f \partial_{\nu} g \mathrm{~d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right], \\
\mathrm{d}(h \circ f) & =\left(h^{\prime} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} f+\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{\prime \prime} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d}[f, f], \\
\mathrm{d}(f g) & =f \mathrm{~d} g+g \mathrm{~d} f+\mathrm{d}[f, g],
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bracket $\mathrm{d}[.,$.$] is called the quadratic variation.$
Deterministic theories: $\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right]=0$; stochastic/quantum theories: $\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right] \neq 0$.
Euclidean Quantum Theory: the quadratic variation satisfies the structure relation

$$
\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right](\tau)=\hbar g_{\mathrm{Eucl}}^{\mu \nu}(X(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

Lorentzian Quantum Theory: the quadratic variation satisfies the structure relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}\left[Z^{\mu}, Z^{\nu}\right](\tau)=\mathrm{i} \hbar g^{\mu \nu}(X(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y$ and $Y$ is an auxiliary path.
Consequence: Ordinary (first order) differential geometry fails in quantum theories!

## Properties of Quadratic Variation

- Symmetry: $\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right]=\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\nu}, X^{\mu}\right]$
- Bilinearity: $\mathrm{d}\left[a X^{\mu}+b X^{\nu}, X^{\rho}\right]=a \mathrm{~d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\rho}\right]+b \mathrm{~d}\left[X^{\nu}, X^{\rho}\right]$
- Positivity: $\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right]$ is positive semi-definite
- Closure: $\mathrm{d}\left[\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right], X^{\rho}\right]=0$ if $X(\tau)$ is continuous


## Second Order Geometry

Geometric Perspective: Given a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the (co)tangent space $T_{x}^{(*)} \mathcal{M}$ is extended to the second order (co)tangent space $\tilde{T}_{x}^{(*)} \mathcal{M}$. Vectors $v \in \tilde{T}_{x} \mathcal{M}$ and forms $\omega \in \tilde{T}_{x}^{*} \mathcal{M}$ have the canonical representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} v_{2}^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d}_{2} x^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}\left[x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{(\nu} \omega_{\mu)}$ and a duality pairing given by $\langle v, \omega\rangle=\omega_{\mu} v^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu \nu} v_{2}^{\mu \nu}$.
Second order vectors can be interpreted as velocities along stochastic/quantum trajectories:

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\mu}(x, \tau) & =\lim _{\mathrm{d} \tau \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} X^{\mu}(\tau)}{\mathrm{d} \tau} \right\rvert\, X(\tau)=x\right],  \tag{9}\\
v_{2}^{\mu \nu}(x, \tau) & =\lim _{\mathrm{d} \tau \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right](\tau)}{\mathrm{d} \tau} \right\rvert\, X(\tau)=x\right] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Representations $\left(v^{\mu}, v_{2}^{\nu \rho}\right)$ of vectors $v$ and $\left(\omega_{\mu}, \omega_{\nu \rho}\right)$ of forms $\omega$ don't transform covariantly! However, there exist covariant representations $\left(\hat{v}^{\mu}, \hat{v}_{2}^{\nu \rho}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{\omega}_{\mu}, \hat{\omega}_{\nu \rho}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{v}^{\mu} & =v^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\nu \rho}^{\mu} v_{2}^{\nu \rho}, & & \hat{\omega}_{\mu}=\omega_{\mu}, \\
\hat{v}_{2}^{\mu \nu} & =v_{2}^{\mu \nu}, & & \hat{\omega}_{\mu \nu}=\omega_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\rho} \omega_{\rho} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Algebraic Perspective: The structure group of the tangent bundle $\tilde{T} \mathcal{M}=\bigsqcup_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \widetilde{T}_{x} \mathcal{M}$ is the Itô group $G_{n}^{I}=\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Lin}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with binary operation

$$
\left(g^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right)(g, \Gamma)=\left(g^{\prime} g, g^{\prime} \circ \Gamma+\Gamma^{\prime} \circ(g \otimes g)\right)
$$

and left action on the fibers $\tilde{T}_{x} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \operatorname{Sym}\left(T \mathbb{R}^{n} \otimes T \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

$$
(g, \Gamma)\left(v, v_{2}\right)=\left(g v+\Gamma v_{2},(g \otimes g) v_{2}\right)
$$

for all $g, g^{\prime} \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Lin}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $v_{2} \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(T \mathbb{R}^{n} \otimes T \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Consequence: Consider an arbitrary vector field $v(x)$ with canonical (first order) representation $v^{\mu}=e_{a}^{\mu} v^{a}$. Then, if $v$ is Lorentz invariant, $v^{a}$ is invariant under the Lorentz group $\mathrm{SO}^{+}(3,1)$. However, since the polyads $e_{a}^{\mu}$ transform under $G_{n}^{I}$ instead of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the representation $v^{\mu}$ is invariant under a deformed Lorentz symmetry
These deformations vanish in two limits:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow v_{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Further Results

## Embeddings in higher dimension:

- Given a $n$-dimensional (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold equipped with second order geometry, there exists a bijective mapping to a $n$-dimensional brane embedded in a $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$. dimensional (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold equipped with first order geometry.
- Given a $(3+1)$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold, there exists a bijective mapping to a $(3+1)$-dimensional brane embedded in a $(10+4)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold equipped with first order geometry.
- Using the Bianchi identities, one can reduce the dimensions of the embedding space from $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$ to $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and from $(10+4)$ to $(9+1)$
Killing equation: The second order Killing equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{(\mu} k_{\nu)}=e^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \hbar \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lorentzian theory: $\phi=\frac{\pi}{2}$; Euclidean theory: $\phi=0$.
Thus, on the quantum foam we obtain an $O\left(l_{p}^{2}\right)$ deviation from the classical Killing equation.

## Outlook

Second order geometry enables the construction of a covariant (continuous) path integral. Quantum gravity may require path integrals along paths that are not continuous.
The typical example is a path with Poisson distributed jumps.
The characteristic structure relation of a compensated Poisson process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}[X, X](\tau)=\beta^{2} \gamma \mathrm{~d} \tau+\beta \mathrm{d} X(\tau) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the jump rate and $\beta$ the jump size.
More generally, one can consider theories characterized by a structure relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}\left[X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}\right](\tau)=A^{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} \tau+B_{\rho}^{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} X^{\rho} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Discrete theories, characterized by $B \neq 0$, can be related to infinite derivative theories and theories on non-commutative spacetimes. (Stay tuned!)
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