Discreteness Unravels the Black Hole Information Puzzle : Insights from a Quantum Gravity Toy Model

Aix Marseille
Alejandro Perez ${ }^{1}$ Salvatore Ribisi ${ }^{1}$ Sami Viollet ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Aix Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS, CPT, Marseille, France

## Motivations

Describe the classical dynamics of a particle falling into a black hole as a KG scalar field excitation.

- Implement the discreteness of geometry suggested in LQG in the quantization of the
dynamics of matter and geometry. dynamics of matter and geometry
- Use this quantum model to investigate the information loss paradox.


## Model of an Hawking particle near the singularity

We first study the dynamics of a particle close to the BH singularity, representing the ingoing Hawking particle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The particle falls with a } 4 \text { wave vector } \\
& k^{a}=-E\left(\partial_{t}\right)^{a}+p_{r}\left(\partial_{r}\right)^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

The physical moment in the $\left(\partial_{t}\right)^{a}$ direction is

$$
p_{t}=\frac{k_{a}\left(\partial_{t}\right)^{a}}{\sqrt{\left|\partial_{t} \cdot \partial_{t}\right|}}=-E \sqrt{\frac{r}{2 M-r}} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} 0
$$

The physical moment of the particle is vanishing in the $t, \theta$ and $\phi$ direction

The KG scalar field excitation describing the particle is homogeneous in the $t, \theta$ and direction $\phi$

## The classical dynamics

On the other hand, the interior of a BH is isometric to an homogenous and anisotrpic spacetime $\downarrow$
Inside of black hole + particle falling in $=$ Homogenous \& Anisotropic s.T. + massless $\phi$ field
Therefore the system is well described by

$$
d s^{2}=-f(r) d t^{2}+h(r) d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi=\phi(r)
$$

The action is $\quad S=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{R} d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} R+2 \int_{\partial_{R}} K-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R} d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} \partial_{a} \phi \partial^{a} \phi$
After reparametrisation ( $r$ added to the phase space), the Hamiltonian is

$$
H\left(f, p_{f}, h, p_{h}, r, p_{r}, \phi, p_{\phi}\right) \approx 0
$$

## The near singularity regime

We work in the limit $r \ll 2 M$ (near singularity regime) and we perform the canonical transformation

$$
a=4 \pi r^{2} \quad p_{a}=\frac{p_{r}}{8 \pi r} \quad m=-f p_{f} \quad p_{m}=-\log (-f)
$$

The Hamiltonian constraint simply becomes: $H=p_{a}+\frac{1}{2 a}\left(m+\frac{p_{\phi}^{2}}{m}\right) \approx 0$
and $d s^{2}=e^{-\mathrm{P}_{m}} d t^{2}-\frac{\ell_{0}^{2}}{\left(4 \ell_{p}\right)^{4} \pi^{2}} \frac{e^{-\mathrm{p}_{m}}}{m^{2}} d a^{2}+\frac{a}{4 \pi} d \Omega^{2}$ with $M=\frac{2 \sqrt{4 \pi} \ell_{p}^{4}}{\ell_{0}^{2} \sqrt{a}} m^{2} e^{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{p} m}}$

## The quantization scheme

We now implement the discreteness suggested in LQG in the quantization scheme of the model
Schrödinger quantisation : $\left[-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\frac{1}{2 a}\left(m+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\phi}^{2}}{m}\right)\right]|\psi\rangle=0$
Input: no infinitesimal shift in $a$ allowed

one can obtain the full quantum dynamics from this equation

$$
\left.\psi\left(m, \mathrm{p}_{\phi}, a+\lambda \lambda_{p}^{2}\right)=e^{\frac{i}{[ }\left[\tau\left(a+\lambda \lambda_{p}^{2}\right)-\tau(a)\right]\left(m+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\phi}^{2}}{16 \pi \ell_{p}^{2} m}\right.}\right) \psi\left(m, \mathrm{p}_{\phi}, a\right) .
$$

## Degeneracy of the mass operator

## It is possible to define a mass operator and to study its spectrum

$$
M=\frac{2 \sqrt{4 \pi} \ell_{p}^{4}}{\ell_{0}^{2} \sqrt{a}} m^{2} e^{\mathrm{p}_{m}} \longrightarrow \hat{M}=\frac{2 \sqrt{4 \pi} \ell_{p}^{4}}{\ell_{0}^{2}} \frac{\widehat{1}}{\sqrt{a}} \hat{m} e^{\widehat{\mathrm{p}_{m}}} \hat{m}
$$

## Remark: $[\hat{M}, \hat{H}]=0$



## Dynamics of an Hawking pair on a discrete quantum geometry

We can decompose the total Hilbert space describing the geometric and the matter d.o.f as follows

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text {total }}=\underbrace{\mathcal{H}_{m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\epsilon} \otimes}_{\text {geometry }} \stackrel{\text { H. }}{\mathcal{H}_{\text {in }} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text {out }}}
$$

Elements of this Hilbert space are noted $\mid m, \epsilon$, pin,$\left.p_{\text {out }}\right\rangle$.
We consider the following initial state for which the two particles are maximally entangled

$$
\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{i= \pm \varepsilon= \pm}} \int \psi_{0}(m)\left|m, \epsilon_{\varepsilon}, i, i\right\rangle d m
$$

The dynamics gives

$$
|\psi\rangle=\sum_{\substack{i= \pm \varepsilon= \pm}} \int e^{\frac{i}{[ }\left[\tau\left(a_{0}+n \lambda \lambda_{p}^{2}+\epsilon_{\varepsilon}\right)-\tau\left(a_{0}+\epsilon_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\left(m+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{i}^{2}}{16 \pi \rho_{p}^{2} m}\right)} \psi_{0}(m)\left|m, \epsilon_{\varepsilon}, i, i\right\rangle d m .
$$

## Mutual information as a measure of correlations

One start with the relative entropy

$$
S(\rho \mid \sigma):=\operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \rho-\rho \log \sigma)
$$

which quantifies the distinguishability of $\rho$ from $\sigma$.
If one consider the case of a system that can be decomposed into three subsystems $A, B$ and $C$, one can define the mutual information by

$$
I_{A, B}:=S\left(\rho_{A B} \mid \rho_{A} \otimes \rho_{B}\right) .
$$

It quantifies how much a mixed states is distinguishable from the uncorrelated state that we can have by separating the two subsystems.
Moreover one has

$$
\frac{\left(\psi \hat{O}_{A} \hat{O}_{B} \psi-\psi \hat{O}_{A} \psi \psi \hat{O}_{B} \psi\right)^{2}}{2\left\|\hat{O}_{A}\right\|\left\|\hat{O}_{B}\right\|} \leq I_{A, B}(\psi)
$$

for all $\hat{O}=\hat{O}_{A} \otimes \hat{O}_{B}$ such that $\hat{O}_{A}$ and $\hat{O}_{B}$ are bounded.
The mutual information is an upper bound of the correlations between $A$ and $B$.
Evolution of correlations between Hawking particle and the Planckian geometric d.o.f


The correlations between Hawking's pair disappear in favor of correlations between the particle falling inside and the Planckian geometric d.o.f.
Moreover, one can also show that

## $I_{i n, \epsilon}=I_{o u t, \epsilon}$

The particle escaping to infinity also becomes entangled with the Planckian geometric d.o.f.
To restore unitarity, the Planckian geometric d.o.f must be taken into account.
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