

Why?

- Diffeomorphism covariance (or background independence) is a key feature of the formulation of General Relativity.
- Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) is a non-perturbative proposal to a quantum theory of gravity, based on the principle of diffeomorphism covariance.
- Loop Quantum Cosmology (LQC) applies quantization techniques analogous to LQG to symmetry-reduced models, but does not require diffeomorphism covariance a priori.
- Requiring diffeomorphism covariance to a LQC model can help reducing ambiguities in its construction^{1,2}.

Kantowski-Sachs Framework

- Homogeneous model with spatial section of topology $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, with geometry described by pairs (b, p_b) and (c, p_c) , such that

$$\{b, p_b\} = G\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \{c, p_c\} = 2G\gamma,$$

$$ds^2 = -N^2 d\tau^2 + \frac{p_b^2}{|p_c|L_0^2} dx^2 + |p_c| d\Omega^2, \quad V = 4\pi |p_b| \sqrt{|p_c|}.$$

- Ashtekar-Barbero variables:

$$\begin{aligned} A_a^1 &= -b \sin \theta \partial_a \phi, & E_1^a &= -\frac{p_b}{L_0} \phi^a \\ A_a^2 &= b \partial_a \theta, & E_2^a &= \frac{p_b}{L_0} \sin \theta \theta^a \\ A_a^3 &= \frac{c}{L_0} \partial_a x + \cos \theta \partial_a \phi, & E_3^a &= p_c \sin \theta x^a \end{aligned}$$

- Hamiltonian Constraint (with lapse $N_{V_n} = \lambda V^n$)

$$H_{cl}[N_{V_n}] = -\frac{\lambda V^{n+1}}{8\pi G \gamma^2} \text{sgn } p_b \left[\frac{b^2 + \gamma^2}{p_c} + \frac{2bc}{p_b} \right]. \quad (1)$$

Diffeomorphism Covariance

- Residual diffeomorphisms: group of transformations preserving the form of (A, E) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_v^i A_a^i(t) &= \dot{A}_a^i = \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial b} \dot{b}(t) + \frac{\partial A_a^i}{\partial c} \dot{c}(t) \\ \mathcal{L}_v^i E_i^a(t) &= \dot{E}_i^a = \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_b} \dot{p}_b(t) + \frac{\partial E_i^a}{\partial p_c} \dot{p}_c(t) \end{aligned}$$

- Subgroup with non-trivial action generated by $\{x\vec{x}\}$.

- Flow equations result in

$$\dot{b} = 0, \quad \dot{p}_b = p_b, \quad \dot{c} = c, \quad \dot{p}_c = 0 \quad (2)$$

- Flow of the Hamiltonian:

$$\dot{H}_{cl} = (n+1)H_{cl}.$$

We seek to require the quantum Hamiltonian to follow a quantization of this condition (*quantum covariance*).

Quantization Procedure

- Standard procedure corresponding to canonical transformations: turn quantities into operators, Poisson brackets into commutators, choose an ordering for quantizing products

$$\dot{F} = \{F, H\} \Rightarrow \hat{F} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{F}, \hat{H}] \Rightarrow \hat{F}(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} t} \hat{F}(0) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} t}.$$

Flows in (2) are non-canonical, but they can be cast in a related form

$$\dot{F} = \omega_1 \{F, \Lambda_1\}(b, p_b) + \omega_2 \{F, \Lambda_2\}(c, p_c) = \frac{p_b}{\gamma G} \{F, b\} - \frac{c}{2\gamma G} \{F, p_c\}.$$

Choosing the Weyl ordering for quantizing products, $\hat{A} \star \hat{B} := \frac{1}{2}(\hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A})$, yields the covariance equation for \hat{H} ,

$$(n+1)\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2i\gamma\ell_p^2} \{ \hat{p}_b [\hat{b}, \hat{H}] + [\hat{b}, \hat{H}] \hat{p}_b \} - \frac{1}{4i\gamma\ell_p^2} \{ \hat{c} [\hat{p}_c, \hat{H}] + [\hat{p}_c, \hat{H}] \hat{c} \}. \quad (3)$$

- Only exponentials of b and c are properly defined in the Bohr-Hilbert space arising from loop quantization, so first find the general solution for (3) in the standard Schrödinger representation, with later imposition of preservation of the Bohr-Hilbert space.
- Find the general solution for the matrix elements $\langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle$, and use completeness of momentum basis to obtain the action of the Hamiltonian on a general state $|p_b', p_c'\rangle$,

$$\hat{H} |p_b', p_c'\rangle = \int |p_b'', p_c''\rangle \langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle dp_b'' dp_c''.$$

By changing variables, rewrite the action of \hat{H} in terms of shifts and an unconstrained parameter function $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\hat{H} |p_b', p_c'\rangle = \left[\int e^{\frac{iA}{2} \hat{b}} e^{\frac{iB}{2} \frac{\hat{c}}{|p_b|}} | \hat{p}_b |^{n+1} \alpha(A, B, \hat{p}_c, \text{sgn } p_b) e^{\frac{iB}{2} \frac{\hat{c}}{|p_b|}} e^{\frac{iA}{2} \hat{b}} dAdB \right] |p_b', p_c'\rangle.$$

Define the ordering prescription, for a general function $f(p_b, p_c)$ as $f(p_b, p_c) e^{i(Ab+B\frac{c}{|p_b|})} := e^{\frac{iA}{2} \hat{b}} e^{\frac{iB}{2} \frac{\hat{c}}{|p_b|}} f(\hat{p}_b, \hat{p}_c) e^{\frac{iB}{2} \frac{\hat{c}}{|p_b|}} e^{\frac{iA}{2} \hat{b}}$, and thus

$$\hat{H} = \int |p_b|^{n+1} \alpha(A, B, p_c, \text{sgn } p_b) e^{i(Ab+B\frac{c}{|p_b|})} dAdB.$$

- *Preservation of Bohr-Hilbert space*: for any p_b', p_c' there must be at most countable p_b'', p_c'' such that the matrix elements $\langle p_b'', p_c'' | \hat{H} | p_b', p_c' \rangle$ are non-zero. Require $\alpha(A, B, p_c, \text{sgn } p_b) = \sum_k \alpha_k(p_c, \text{sgn } p_b) \delta(A - A_k(p_c)) \delta(B - B_k(p_c))$, then

$$\hat{H} = \sum_k |p_b|^{n+1} \alpha_k(p_c, \text{sgn } p_b) e^{i(A_k(p_c)b + B_k(p_c)\frac{c}{|p_b|})}.$$

Discrete Symmetries

- Define the *classical analogue* of operator as the preimage under quantization map,

$$H = \sum_n p_b \alpha_n(p_c, \text{sgn } p_b) e^{i(A_n(p_c)b + B_n(p_c)\frac{c}{|p_b|})}. \quad (4)$$

As a consequence of the ordering prescription for quantization, discrete symmetries that are left can be easily checked directly in the classical analogue.

- *Hermiticity*: $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^\dagger = \hat{H} \Rightarrow \bar{H} = H$,
- *b-parity*: $\Pi_b: (b, p_b) \mapsto (-b, -p_b)$, equivalent to an internal gauge rotation of π around the 3-axis. $\hat{\Pi}_b \hat{H} \hat{\Pi}_b = \hat{\Pi}_b^* \hat{H}$ and H must satisfy the covariance equation $\Pi_b^* H = -H$, satisfied by (1).
- *c-parity*: $\Pi_c: (c, p_c) \mapsto (-c, -p_c)$, equivalent to antipodal map $(\theta, \phi) \mapsto (\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi)$ + internal parity under 3-axis. H must follow $\Pi_c^* H = -H$, satisfied by (1).
- Physical assumption: quantization is resultant from the fact that holonomies can only be shrunk to a minimum area Δ , which is dependent only on the absolute values of the momentum variables, and not on their sign – require that $A = A(|p_c|)$ and $B = B(|p_c|)$
- The general form for H is then

$$H = |p_b|^{n+1} \left\{ a_0^e \text{sgn}(p_b p_c) - 2 \sum_{k \neq 0} \left[a_k^o \text{sgn } p_b \cos(A_k b) \cos\left(\frac{B_k c}{|p_b|}\right) + a_k^e \sin(A_k b) \sin\left(\frac{B_k c}{|p_b|}\right) + b_k^e \text{sgn } p_b \cos(A_k b) \sin\left(\frac{B_k c}{|p_b|}\right) - b_k^o \sin(A_k b) \cos\left(\frac{B_k c}{|p_b|}\right) \right] \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where a_k, b_k are real and imaginary parts of α_k , and the superscripts e, o refers to the even and odd parts of each coefficient.

Classical Asymptotic Behavior

- Expanding (5) for the limit of low curvatures ($b, c \rightarrow 0$), and matching terms of same order with (1), result in a system of equations to find a family of Hamiltonians, depending on the parameter N chosen

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(1): & -\frac{\lambda(4\pi)^n}{2G\gamma^2} \gamma^2 |p_c|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \text{sgn } p_c = a_0^e \text{sgn } p_c + \sum_{k=1}^N 2a_k^o \\ \mathcal{O}(b): & 0 = \sum_{k=1}^N b_k^o A_k \\ \mathcal{O}(c): & 0 = \sum_{k=1}^N b_k^e B_k \\ \mathcal{O}(bc): & \frac{\lambda(4\pi)^n}{2G\gamma^2} |p_c|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \text{sgn } p_b = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^e A_k B_k \\ \mathcal{O}(b^2): & -\frac{\lambda(4\pi)^n}{2G\gamma^2} |p_c|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \text{sgn } p_c = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^o A_k^2 \\ \mathcal{O}(c^2): & 0 = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^o B_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

Minimality

- Require Hamiltonian to have a minimum number of terms ($N = 2$), which results in

$$H = -\frac{\lambda}{2G\gamma^2} \frac{V^n |p_b|}{|p_c|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text{sgn}(p_b p_c) \left[\gamma^2 + 2p_c \text{sgn } p_b \frac{\sin(A_1 b)}{A_1} \frac{\sin\left(B_1 \frac{c}{|p_b|}\right)}{B_1} + \frac{4 \sin^2\left(\frac{A_2 b}{2}\right)}{A_2^2} \right]. \quad (6)$$

- Selecting the $\bar{\mu}$ prescription, by choosing $A_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{p_c}}, B_1 = \sqrt{p_c \Delta}, A_2 = 2A_1$, (6) matches Chiou³ for $n = 1$, and Joe and Singh⁴ for $n = 0$.

Discussion

- Although avoiding choosing a particular quantization prescription, the results force to a kind of $\bar{\mu}$ -prescription, since c can only appear in the shift coefficients in the form $\frac{c}{|p_b|}$ to guarantee covariance under residual diffeomorphisms.
- Requiring minimality – an Occam's razor assumption – matches the result with others previously presented in the literature, reached by the standard quantization method in LQC.
- However, it is worth to stress that minimality is not a physical requirement, and has the weakness that it selects a unique result and does not allow different possible dynamics of the full theory to be represented.

References

- Jonathan Engle and Ilya Vilenky. "Deriving loop quantum cosmology dynamics from diffeomorphism invariance". In: Phys. Rev. D 98.2 (2018), p. 023505.
- Jonathan Engle and Ilya Vilenky. "Uniqueness of minimal loop quantum cosmology dynamics". In: Phys. Rev. D 100.12 (2019), p. 121901.
- Dah-Wei Chiou. "Phenomenological dynamics of loop quantum cosmology in Kantowski-Sachs spacetime". In: Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008), p. 044019.
- Anton Joe and Parampreet Singh. "Kantowski-Sachs spacetime in loop quantum cosmology: bounds on expansion and shear scalars and the viability of quantization prescriptions". In: Class. Quant. Grav. 32.1 (2015), p. 015009.