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## Gravitational Path Integral

Non-perturbative quantum gravity
Many approaches-
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\mathscr{Z}=\int_{M / \text { Diff( } M)}[\mathscr{D} \mu(\text { geom })] e^{-\mathrm{i}\{[\text { geom }]}
$$

What are the fundamental degrees of freedom for QG ?
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## Gravitational Path Integral

Non-perturbative quantum gravity
Many approaches- $\quad \mathscr{Z}=\int_{M / \operatorname{Diff}(M)}[\mathscr{D} \mu($ geom $)] e^{-\mathrm{i} S[\text { geom }]}$
What are the fundamental degrees of freedom for QG ?

## Path Integral approaches

[Bianca's talk]
$\star$ Computing Lorentzian path integrals

- Deal with convergence, high oscillatory integrals. Picard-Lefschetz methods
- Euclidean path integral via Wick rotation of limited usage

太 What configurations should be summed over in path integral?

- impose causality conditions on geometries?
- Allow topology change?

Picard-Lefschetz can inform

## Causality Violations

## 2D gravity

Causality violating configurations gets imaginary contributions to the action

- Topology changes
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## 2D gravity

Causality violating configurations gets imaginary contributions to the action

- Topology changes


Yarmulke


Which configurations are enhanced or suppressed?

2D: Supress trouser-like configurations
[Dittrich, Padua-Argüelles, SKA]
4D: Enhancing Yarmulke configurations lead to non-sensible results

Is there a general mechanism to deal with causal violations?
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## Discrete gravity

## Regge Calculus [Regge '61]

Discretization of Einstein Hilbert action (length/metric formulation)
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S_{\mathrm{EH}}=\int_{\mathscr{M}} d^{D} x \sqrt{|g|}(R-2 \Lambda) \quad \longleftrightarrow S_{\text {Regge }}[\mathscr{T}]=\sum_{h: \text { hinge }} \operatorname{Vol}_{h} \delta_{h}-\Lambda \sum_{\sigma: \text { simplex }} \operatorname{Vol}_{\sigma}
$$
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## Regge Calculus [Regge '61]

Discretization of Einstein Hilbert action (length/metric formulation)

$$
S_{\mathrm{EH}}=\int_{\mathscr{M}} d^{D} x \sqrt{|g|}(R-2 \Lambda) \quad \longleftrightarrow S_{\text {Regge }}[\mathscr{T}]=\sum_{h: \text { hinge }} \operatorname{Vol}_{h} \delta_{h}-\Lambda \sum_{\sigma: \text { simplex }} \operatorname{Vol}_{\sigma}
$$

- based on simplicial discretization
- use Minkowski flat simplices: piecewise flat geometry
- curvature $\delta_{h}$ distributed on co-dim 2 surfaces

- dynamics: Regge equations of motion
- variations of edge lengths
- other variables possible: area, area-angle Regge calculus
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{12}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right) \\
& \theta_{13}=\sinh ^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{3}\right) \mp \frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{2} \\
& \theta_{14}=-\cosh ^{-1}\left(-x_{1} \cdot x_{4}\right) \mp \pi \mathrm{i} \\
& \theta_{35}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(x_{3} \cdot x_{5}\right) \mp \pi \mathrm{i}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Choice of $\mp \mathrm{i} \pi / 2$ for light ray crossings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{12}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right) \\
& \theta_{13}=\sinh ^{-1}\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{3}\right) \mp \frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{2} \\
& \theta_{14}=-\cosh ^{-1}\left(-x_{1} \cdot x_{4}\right) \mp \pi \mathrm{i} \\
& \theta_{35}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(x_{3} \cdot x_{5}\right) \mp \pi \mathrm{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Two choices $L_{\mp}$ can either enhance or suppress irregular configurations
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## 2D Triangulations
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Irregular configurations:

[Jordan, Loll '13]
Higher Dimensions: Other causality conditions Edge causality, Vertex Causality
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Generalizes complex dihedral angles in Regge calculus

## Analytical continuation

- extend $\theta(\phi)$ to the region $\quad \phi \in(-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$
- $4 \pi$ periodic



## Complex Regge Calculus

Analytical continued dihedral angles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{ \pm}(\phi)=2 \pi \pm \sum_{\sigma} \theta_{\sigma}^{ \pm}(\phi) \\
& \delta^{+}=\delta^{-} \text {regular configurations }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Complex Regge Calculus

Analytical continued dihedral angles

$$
\delta^{ \pm}(\phi)=2 \pi \pm \sum_{\sigma} \theta_{\sigma}^{ \pm}(\phi)
$$

$$
\delta^{+}=\delta^{-} \text {regular configurations }
$$

## Complex Rage action

No ambiguity for regular configurations

$$
S_{\mathrm{R}}^{L_{+}}=S_{\mathrm{R}}^{L_{-}}
$$

$$
\phi=2 \pi
$$

$\phi=2 \pi \stackrel{S_{\mathrm{R}}^{E}}{\longleftrightarrow}$
Branch cuts for irregular configurations

$$
S_{\mathrm{R}}[\mathscr{T}]=\sum_{\text {hinges }}\left|\operatorname{Vol}_{h}\right| \delta_{h}-\Lambda \sum_{\sigma}\left|\operatorname{Vol}_{\sigma}\right|
$$

e
$\square$

Br
action

$$
\operatorname{lel}^{2}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
-i S_{\mathrm{R}}^{L_{+}} \downarrow-i S_{\mathrm{R}}^{L_{-}} \\
\phi=-\pi
\end{gathered}
$$

Lorentzian data
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## Picard-Lefschetz method

## Lorentzian Path Integrals

## Idea:

Converts oscillatory integrals into sum of integrations with exponentially fast convergence
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$$
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## Lorentzian Path Integrals

## Idea:

Converts oscillatory integrals into sum of integrations with exponentially fast convergence

$$
Z=\int_{X} \mathrm{~d} x e^{i S(x) / \hbar} \longrightarrow Z=\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} \int_{\mathscr{I}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d} x e^{i S(x) / \hbar}
$$

## Summary:

- Complexify integration domain $\quad X \rightarrow X^{\mathbb{C}}$
- Find critical points of action in the complex domain $\quad p_{\sigma} \in X^{\mathbb{C}}$
- Use critical points in the complex domain to find integration cycles Lefschetz thimbles $\mathscr{J}_{\sigma}$ Along thimbles:

Real part of integrand decreases monotonically while imaginary part is constant

- Express real integration domain in terms of Lefschetz thimbles $\quad X=\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} \mathscr{J}_{\sigma}$
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No boundary proposal


Discrete: Ball model

Topology changing configuration

Euclidean saddle points
For small $a_{f}$
deSitter cosmological spacetime


discretization

## Features:

Discrete: Shell model

Causal violations at hinges

Lorentzian saddle points

## Applications: Cosmology
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## Applications: Cosmology

## FLRW spacetimes

[Hartle, Hawking, Feldbrugge, Lehners, Turok, Williams, Lui, Collins, Dittrich, Gielen, Schander....]

No boundary proposal


Hinge Causality-Violations
deSitter cosmological spacetime


discretization


## Cosmology Examples

Lefschetz thimbles $\int \mathrm{d}_{s_{h}} e^{W}$


Real part of action decreases along thimbles $\operatorname{Re}(W)<0$
Thimble along Euclidean axis at $\phi=2 \pi \quad$ leads to $\quad e^{+S^{\mathrm{E}}} \quad$ Vilenkin choice opposite Hartle-Hawking choice $e^{-S^{\mathrm{E}}}$

## Cosmology Examples

Lefschetz thimbles $\int \mathrm{d} s_{h} e^{W}$


Real part of action decreases along thimbles $\operatorname{Re}(W)<0$
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { Thimble along Euclidean axis at } \phi=2 \pi & \text { leads to } & e^{+S^{\mathrm{E}}} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Vilenkin choice } \\ \text { opposite Hartle-Hawking choice }\end{array} e^{-S^{\mathrm{E}}}\end{array}$

## Cosmology Examples



Suppression from rotation of integration contour
Include configurations with irregular causalities
Lefschetz thimbles picks the suppressing side of the branch cut. $\quad \operatorname{Re}(W)<0$

## Cosmology Examples

Deform integration contours $\int \mathrm{ds}_{h} e^{W}$


Shell model


Suppression from rotation of integration contour
Include configurations with irregular causalities
Lefschetz thimbles picks the suppressing side of the branch cut. $\quad \operatorname{Re}(W)<0$
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