Exactly solvable lower-dimensional gravity models

Thomas Mertens

Ghent University

Based on arXiv:2306.00941 with A. Blommaert and S. Yao arXiv:2212.07696 and earlier work arXiv:2109.07770, arXiv:2006.07072, arXiv:1812.00918







European Research Council Established by the European Commission In recent years, and sparked by developments in the SYK model, lower-dimensional exactly solvable gravitational models (1+1d) have been (re)investigated in depth

In recent years, and sparked by developments in the SYK model, lower-dimensional exactly solvable gravitational models (1+1d) have been (re)investigated in depth In this talk, I will discuss three interrelated 1+1d gravitational

models, and in particular observe and unify the underlying structure of their gravitational amplitudes in terms of representation theory

In recent years, and sparked by developments in the SYK model, lower-dimensional exactly solvable gravitational models (1+1d) have been (re)investigated in depth

In this talk, I will discuss three interrelated 1+1d gravitational models, and in particular observe and unify the underlying structure of their gravitational amplitudes in terms of representation theory This approach has been my main focus for several years, and has been pursued with several collaborators (A. Blommaert, Y. Fan, J. Simon, G.J. Turiaci, G. Wong, S. Yao)

+ WIP with F. Mariani, A. Belaey

2d JT gravity

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SYK}}$ and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DSSYK}}$

Liouville gravity

Conclusion

Dilaton gravity = 1+1 dimensional toy model of gravity $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left(\Phi R + V(\Phi) \right) + S_{bdy}$ $\Phi \text{ is dilaton field, } V(\Phi) \text{ is dilaton potential}$

Dilaton gravity = 1+1 dimensional toy model of gravity $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\Phi R + V(\Phi) \right) + S_{bdy}$ $\Phi \text{ is dilaton field, } V(\Phi) \text{ is dilaton potential}$

Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) 2d dilaton gravity Teitelboim '83, Jackiw '85 $I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \Phi(R+2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi_{bdy} K$ $\Lambda = -2 < 0 \rightarrow \text{AdS version}$

Dilaton gravity = 1+1 dimensional toy model of gravity $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left(\Phi R + V(\Phi) \right) + S_{bdy}$ $\Phi \text{ is dilaton field, } V(\Phi) \text{ is dilaton potential}$

Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) 2d dilaton gravity Teitelboim '83, Jackiw '85 $I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \Phi(R+2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi_{bdy} K$ $\Lambda = -2 < 0 \rightarrow \text{AdS version}$

Review results on thermal amplitudes in this model Tr $[.e^{-\beta H}]$ from Euclidean gravitational path integral (PI)

Dilaton gravity = 1+1 dimensional toy model of gravity $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left(\Phi R + V(\Phi) \right) + S_{bdy}$ $\Phi \text{ is dilaton field, } V(\Phi) \text{ is dilaton potential}$

Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) 2d dilaton gravity Teitelboim '83, Jackiw '85 $I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \Phi(R+2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi_{bdy} K$ $\Lambda = -2 < 0 \rightarrow \text{AdS version}$

Review results on thermal amplitudes in this model Tr $[.e^{-\beta H}]$ from **Euclidean gravitational path integral** (PI) In Euclidean gravity, a black hole geometry has a contractible thermal circle (cigar/disk topology) Gibbons-Hawking '77 **Disk partition function** (with holographic boundary of length β):

Maldacena-Stanford '16, Stanford-Witten '17

Dilaton gravity = 1+1 dimensional toy model of gravity $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\Phi R + V(\Phi) \right) + S_{bdy}$ $\Phi \text{ is dilaton field, } V(\Phi) \text{ is dilaton potential}$

Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) 2d dilaton gravity Teitelboim '83, Jackiw '85 $I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \Phi(R+2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi_{bdy} K$ $\Lambda = -2 < 0 \rightarrow \text{AdS version}$

Review results on thermal amplitudes in this model Tr $[.e^{-\beta H}]$ from **Euclidean gravitational path integral** (PI) In Euclidean gravity, a black hole geometry has a contractible thermal circle (cigar/disk topology) Gibbons-Hawking '77 **Disk partition function** (with holographic boundary of length β):

Maldacena-Stanford '16, Stanford-Witten '17

$$\beta$$
 = Z(β) = Tr $\left[e^{-\beta H}\right] = \int_0^{+\infty} dk (k \sinh 2\pi k) e^{-\beta k^2}$

Dilaton gravity = 1+1 dimensional toy model of gravity $S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\Phi R + V(\Phi) \right) + S_{bdy}$ $\Phi \text{ is dilaton field, } V(\Phi) \text{ is dilaton potential}$

Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) 2d dilaton gravity Teitelboim '83, Jackiw '85 $I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \Phi(R+2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \Phi_{bdy} K$ $\Lambda = -2 < 0 \rightarrow \text{AdS version}$

Review results on thermal amplitudes in this model Tr $[.e^{-\beta H}]$ from **Euclidean gravitational path integral** (PI) In Euclidean gravity, a black hole geometry has a contractible thermal circle (cigar/disk topology) Gibbons-Hawking '77 **Disk partition function** (with holographic boundary of length β):

Maldacena-Stanford '16, Stanford-Witten '17

$$\beta$$
 = Z(β) = Tr $\left[e^{-\beta H}\right] = \int_0^{+\infty} dk (k \sinh 2\pi k) e^{-\beta k^2}$

= QG thermal partition function whose saddle matches classical JT black hole $M(T_H)$ (mass vs Hawking temperature)

Boundary two-point function: Bagrets-Altland-Kamenev '16, '17, TM-Turiaci-Verlinde

'17, Blommaert-TM-Verschelde '18, Yang '18, Kitaev-Suh '18, '19, Iliesiu-Pufu-Verlinde-Wang '19 ... au

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})\rangle_{\beta} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})e^{-\beta H}\right] =$$

$$h = h = h = h$$

$$\beta - \tau$$

Boundary two-point function: Bagrets-Altland-Kamenev '16, '17, TM-Turiaci-Verlinde

'17, Blommaert-TM-Verschelde '18, Yang '18, Kitaev-Suh '18, '19, Iliesiu-Pufu-Verlinde-Wang '19 ... au

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})\right\rangle _{\beta} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})e^{-\beta H}\right] =$$

$$\stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} =$$

$$\beta - \tau =$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_1(k_1 \sinh 2\pi k_1) \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_2(k_2 \sinh 2\pi k_2) e^{-\tau k_1^2 - (\beta - \tau)k_2^2} \frac{\Gamma(h \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2h)}$$

Boundary two-point function: Bagrets-Altland-Kamenev '16, '17, TM-Turiaci-Verlinde

'17, Blommaert-TM-Verschelde '18, Yang '18, Kitaev-Suh '18, '19, Iliesiu-Pufu-Verlinde-Wang '19 ...

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})\right\rangle _{\beta} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})e^{-\beta H}\right] =$$

$$\stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_1(k_1 \sinh 2\pi k_1) \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_2(k_2 \sinh 2\pi k_2) e^{-\tau k_1^2 - (\beta - \tau)k_2^2} \frac{\Gamma(h \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2h)}$$

 τ

Observation:

Building blocks of amplitudes have a group theory interpretation: For the continuous irrep j = -1/2 + ik of SL(2, \mathbb{R}):

Boundary two-point function: Bagrets-Altland-Kamenev '16, '17, TM-Turiaci-Verlinde

'17, Blommaert-TM-Verschelde '18, Yang '18, Kitaev-Suh '18, '19, Iliesiu-Pufu-Verlinde-Wang '19 ...

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})\right\rangle _{\beta} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})e^{-\beta H}\right] =$$

$$\stackrel{h}{\underset{\beta - \tau}{\longrightarrow}} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_1(k_1 \sinh 2\pi k_1) \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_2(k_2 \sinh 2\pi k_2) e^{-\tau k_1^2 - (\beta - \tau)k_2^2} \frac{\Gamma(h \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2h)}$$

 τ

Observation:

Building blocks of amplitudes have a group theory interpretation: For the continuous irrep j = -1/2 + ik of SL(2, \mathbb{R}): $k^2(+1/4)$ is the quadratic Casimir

Boundary two-point function: Bagrets-Altland-Kamenev '16, '17, TM-Turiaci-Verlinde

'17, Blommaert-TM-Verschelde '18, Yang '18, Kitaev-Suh '18, '19, Iliesiu-Pufu-Verlinde-Wang '19 ...

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})\right\rangle _{\beta} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})e^{-\beta H}\right] =$$

$$\stackrel{h}{\underset{\beta - \tau}{\longrightarrow}} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_1(k_1 \sinh 2\pi k_1) \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_2(k_2 \sinh 2\pi k_2) e^{-\tau k_1^2 - (\beta - \tau)k_2^2} \frac{\Gamma(h \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2h)}$$

 τ

Observation:

Building blocks of amplitudes have a group theory interpretation: For the continuous irrep j = -1/2 + ik of SL(2, \mathbb{R}): $k^2(+1/4)$ is the quadratic Casimir $k \sinh 2\pi k$ is the Plancherel measure subtleties related to the precise algebraic structure

Boundary two-point function: Bagrets-Altland-Kamenev '16, '17, TM-Turiaci-Verlinde

'17, Blommaert-TM-Verschelde '18, Yang '18, Kitaev-Suh '18, '19, Iliesiu-Pufu-Verlinde-Wang '19 ...

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})\rangle_{\beta} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{1})\mathcal{O}^{h}(\tau_{2})e^{-\beta H}\right] = \overset{h}{\underset{\beta = \tau}{\longrightarrow}} =$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_1 (k_1 \sinh 2\pi k_1) \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk_2 (k_2 \sinh 2\pi k_2) e^{-\tau k_1^2 - (\beta - \tau) k_2^2} \frac{\Gamma(h \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2h)}$$

 τ

Observation:

Building blocks of amplitudes have a group theory interpretation: For the continuous irrep j = -1/2 + ik of SL $(2, \mathbb{R})$: $k^2(+1/4)$ is the quadratic Casimir $k \sinh 2\pi k$ is the Plancherel measure subteties related to the precise algebraic structure $\frac{\Gamma(h\pm ik_1\pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2h)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\phi K_{2ik_1}(e^{\phi}) e^{2h\phi} K_{2ik_2}(e^{\phi}) = (\text{Clebsch-Gordan})^2 K_{2ik_1}(e^{\phi})$ are rep matrix elements in the principal series, $e^{2h\phi}$ is a discrete series rep matrix element (j = -h)

Gauge theory formulation of JT gravity: the BF model (2)

No coincidence!

 1^{st} order formulation of JT gravity can be written in terms of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ BF theory Fukuyama-Kamimura '85, Isler-Trugenberger '89, Chamseddine-Wyler '89 $S_{\mathsf{BF}}\sim\int_{\mathcal{M}}d^2x\,\mathrm{Tr}(BF)$

Gauge theory formulation of JT gravity: the BF model (2)

No coincidence!

1st order formulation of JT gravity can be written in terms of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ BF theory Fukuyama-Kamimura '85, Isler-Trugenberger '89, Chamseddine-Wyler '89 $S_{\mathrm{BF}} \sim \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2x \operatorname{Tr}(BF)$ On manifold with boundary along τ -direction TM '18:

 $S_{
m BF} \sim \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 x \operatorname{Tr}(BF) - rac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d au \operatorname{Tr}(BA_{ au}), \qquad B|_{\partial \mathcal{M}} = A_{ au}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}}$

No coincidence!

 1^{st} order formulation of JT gravity can be written in terms of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ BF theory Fukuyama-Kamimura '85, Isler-Trugenberger '89, Chamseddine-Wyler '89 $S_{\mathrm{BF}} \sim \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2x \operatorname{Tr}(BF)$

On manifold with boundary along au-direction TM '18:

 $S_{\text{BF}} \sim \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 x \operatorname{Tr}(BF) - \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d\tau \operatorname{Tr}(BA_{\tau}), \qquad B|_{\partial \mathcal{M}} = A_{\tau}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}}$ Path integrate over $B \longrightarrow A_{\mu} = g^{-1} \partial_{\mu} g$

 \rightarrow Reduces to boundary action of large "would-be" gauge degrees of freedom:

 $S_{\mathsf{BF}} \sim \oint_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d au \operatorname{Tr} \left((g^{-1} \partial_{ au} g)^2 \right) \longrightarrow \mathsf{particle} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{group} \ \mathcal{G}$

No coincidence!

 1^{st} order formulation of JT gravity can be written in terms of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ BF theory Fukuyama-Kamimura '85, Isler-Trugenberger '89, Chamseddine-Wyler '89 $S_{\mathrm{BF}} \sim \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2x \operatorname{Tr}(BF)$

On manifold with boundary along au-direction TM '18:

 $S_{\text{BF}} \sim \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 x \operatorname{Tr}(BF) - \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d\tau \operatorname{Tr}(BA_{\tau}), \qquad B|_{\partial \mathcal{M}} = A_{\tau}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}}$ Path integrate over $B \longrightarrow A_{\mu} = g^{-1} \partial_{\mu} g$

 \rightarrow Reduces to boundary action of large "would-be" gauge degrees of freedom:

 $S_{\mathsf{BF}} \sim \oint_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d au \operatorname{Tr} \left((g^{-1} \partial_{ au} g)^2
ight) \longrightarrow \mathsf{particle} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{group} \ \mathcal{G}$

Structure of theory:

▶ Hilbert space $L^2(G)$ is determined by Peter-Weyl theorem: $\mathcal{H} = \{ | R, a, b \rangle, R = \text{unitary irrep of } G, a, b = 1..dimR \}$

• Coordinate basis $\{|g\rangle, g \in G\}$: $\langle g|R, ab \rangle = \sqrt{\dim R} R_{ab}(g)$

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where \hat{H} = Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep R

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where \hat{H} = Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep RAlso: \hat{H} = Two-bdy grav. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where \hat{H} = Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep RAlso: \hat{H} = Two-bdy grav. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group \rightarrow Explicit expression of $R_{ab}(g)$ by diagonalizing \hat{H}

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where $\hat{H} =$ Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep RAlso: $\hat{H} =$ Two-bdy grav. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group \rightarrow Explicit expression of $R_{ab}(g)$ by diagonalizing \hat{H} Math: Laplacian on $G \equiv$ Casimir in regular representation of g

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where $\hat{H} =$ Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep RAlso: $\hat{H} =$ Two-bdy grav. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group \rightarrow Explicit expression of $R_{ab}(g)$ by diagonalizing \hat{H} Math: Laplacian on $G \equiv$ Casimir in regular representation of gLeft-regular representation: $f(g_0) \rightarrow f(g^{-1} \cdot g_0)$

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where $\hat{H} =$ Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep RAlso: $\hat{H} =$ Two-bdy grav. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group \rightarrow Explicit expression of $R_{ab}(g)$ by diagonalizing \hat{H} Math: Laplacian on $G \equiv$ Casimir in regular representation of \mathfrak{g} Left-regular representation: $f(g_0) \rightarrow f(g^{-1} \cdot g_0)$ Infinitesimally for 1-parameter subgroup: $\hat{L}_i f(g_0) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} f(e^{-\epsilon X_i} g_0)|_{\epsilon=0} \Rightarrow \hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where $\hat{H} =$ Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep R Also: $\hat{H} = \text{Two-bdy grav}$. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group \rightarrow Explicit expression of $R_{ab}(g)$ by diagonalizing \hat{H} Math: Laplacian on $G \equiv$ Casimir in regular representation of g Left-regular representation: $f(g_0) \rightarrow f(g^{-1} \cdot g_0)$ Infinitesimally for 1-parameter subgroup: $\hat{L}_i f(g_0) = \frac{d}{d_e} f(e^{-\epsilon X_i} g_0)|_{\epsilon=0} \Rightarrow \hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$ \rightarrow Apply to JT gravity: Algebra: $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $[H, E] = E, \quad [H, F] = -F, \quad [E, F] = 2H$

 \rightarrow Representation matrices $R_{ab}(g)$ physically identified as two-boundary wavefunctions

that moreover solve the (time-independent) Schrödinger equation: $\hat{H}R_{ab}(g) = C_R R_{ab}(g)$ where $\hat{H} =$ Hamiltonian of particle on group system and C_R is the quadratic Casimir in irrep R Also: $\hat{H} =$ Two-bdy grav. Hamiltonian = Laplacian on group \rightarrow Explicit expression of $R_{ab}(g)$ by diagonalizing \hat{H} Math: Laplacian on $G \equiv$ Casimir in regular representation of g Left-regular representation: $f(g_0) \rightarrow f(g^{-1} \cdot g_0)$ Infinitesimally for 1-parameter subgroup: $\hat{L}_i f(g_0) = \frac{d}{d_e} f(e^{-\epsilon X_i} g_0)|_{\epsilon=0} \Rightarrow \hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$ \rightarrow Apply to JT gravity: Algebra: $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ $[H, E] = E, \quad [H, F] = -F, \quad [E, F] = 2H$ **Group**: Gauss-Euler decomposition for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ group element $g = e^{\gamma F} e^{2\phi H} e^{\beta E}$ for coordinates (γ, ϕ, β)

Regular representations: $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$:



Regular representations: $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$:



Regular representations: $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$:



 $\rightarrow \mathsf{Casimir} \ \mathcal{C} = \hat{H} = \left(-\frac{1}{4} \partial_{\phi}^2 - e^{2\phi} \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \right)$

Extra feature: (without proof) For holographic boundaries in JT gravity, indices a, b in $R_{ab}(g)$ are fixed and constrained: a is eigenvalue of F^{\dagger} parabolic generator, b is eigenvalue of E parabolic generator (Brown-Henneaux aAdS, Hamiltonian reduction)

Regular representations: $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$:



$$ightarrow {\sf Casimir} \ {\cal C} = \hat{H} = \left(-rac{1}{4} \partial_{\phi}^2 - e^{2\phi} \partial_{eta} \partial_{\gamma}
ight)$$

Extra feature: (without proof) For holographic boundaries in JT gravity, indices *a*, *b* in $R_{ab}(g)$ are fixed and constrained: *a* is eigenvalue of F^{\dagger} parabolic generator, *b* is eigenvalue of *E* parabolic generator (Brown-Henneaux aAdS, Hamiltonian reduction) \Rightarrow Constrain $\hat{L}_F = \partial_{\gamma} = -1$ and $\hat{R}_E = \partial_{\beta} = 1$ to implement

gravitational boundary condition

Regular representations: $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$ and $\hat{R}_i g = g X_i$:

$$\begin{split} \hat{L}_{F} &= \partial_{\gamma}, & \hat{R}_{F} &= -\beta^{2}\partial_{\beta} - \beta\partial_{\phi} + e^{2\phi}\partial_{\gamma}, \\ \hat{L}_{H} &= -\gamma\partial_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\phi}, & \hat{R}_{H} &= -\beta\partial_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\phi}, \\ \hat{L}_{E} &= -\gamma^{2}\partial_{\gamma} - \gamma\partial_{\phi} + e^{2\phi}\partial_{\beta}, & \hat{R}_{E} &= \partial_{\beta}, \end{split}$$

$$ightarrow {\sf Casimir} \; {\cal C} = \hat{H} = \left(-rac{1}{4} \partial_{\phi}^2 - e^{2\phi} \partial_{eta} \partial_{\gamma}
ight)$$

Extra feature: (without proof) For holographic boundaries in JT gravity, indices *a*, *b* in $R_{ab}(g)$ are fixed and constrained: *a* is eigenvalue of F^{\dagger} parabolic generator, *b* is eigenvalue of *E* parabolic generator (Brown-Henneaux aAdS, Hamiltonian reduction) \Rightarrow Constrain $\hat{L}_{5} = \hat{a}_{1} = -1$ and $\hat{R}_{5} = -\hat{a}_{2} = -1$ to implement

 \Rightarrow Constrain $\hat{L}_F = \partial_{\gamma} = -1$ and $\hat{R}_E = \partial_{\beta} = 1$ to implement gravitational boundary condition

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4}\partial_{\phi}^{2}+e^{2\phi}
ight)\psi(\phi)=k^{2}\psi(\phi)$$
 with solution $K_{2ik}\left(2e^{\phi}
ight)$

The SYK-model: double-scaling limit

SYK model: $N \ 0+1$ dimensional Majorana fermions $\psi_i(t)$, satisfying $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ with all-to-all random interactions of p fermions Sachdev-Ye '92, Kitaev '15:

 $H = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} J_{i_1 \dots i_p} \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_p}$
The SYK-model: double-scaling limit

SYK model: $N \ 0+1$ dimensional Majorana fermions $\psi_i(t)$, satisfying $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ with all-to-all random interactions of p fermions Sachdev-Ye '92, Kitaev '15:

 $H = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} J_{i_1 \dots i_p} \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_p}$

Conjectured to be dual to 1+1d gravitational model in the bulk

The SYK-model: double-scaling limit

SYK model: $N \ 0+1$ dimensional Majorana fermions $\psi_i(t)$, satisfying $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ with all-to-all random interactions of p fermions Sachdev-Ye '92, Kitaev '15:

 $H = \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_p} J_{i_1 \ldots i_p} \psi_{i_1} \ldots \psi_{i_p}$

Conjectured to be dual to 1+1d gravitational model in the bulk A tractable limit of SYK exists that is both analytically solvable and interesting: we double-scale $p \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ keeping ratio $\lambda \equiv p^2/N$ fixed \Rightarrow Double-scaled SYK: DSSYK **SYK model**: *N* 0+1 dimensional Majorana fermions $\psi_i(t)$, satisfying $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ with all-to-all random interactions of *p* fermions Sachdev-Ye '92, Kitaev '15:

 $H = \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_p} J_{i_1 \ldots i_p} \psi_{i_1} \ldots \psi_{i_p}$

Conjectured to be dual to 1+1d gravitational model in the bulk A tractable limit of SYK exists that is both analytically solvable and interesting: we double-scale $p \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ keeping ratio $\lambda \equiv p^2/N$ fixed \Rightarrow Double-scaled SYK: DSSYK

In ${\tt Berkooz-Isachenkov-Narovlansky-Torrents\ '18}$..., the same correlation functions as in JT were obtained

SYK model: *N* 0+1 dimensional Majorana fermions $\psi_i(t)$, satisfying $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ with all-to-all random interactions of *p* fermions Sachdev-Ye '92, Kitaev '15:

 $H = \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_p} J_{i_1 \ldots i_p} \psi_{i_1} \ldots \psi_{i_p}$

Conjectured to be dual to 1+1d gravitational model in the bulk A tractable limit of SYK exists that is both analytically solvable and interesting: we double-scale $p \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ keeping ratio $\lambda \equiv p^2/N$ fixed \Rightarrow Double-scaled SYK: DSSYK

In ${\tt Berkooz-Isachenkov-Narovlansky-Torrents}$ '18 ..., the same correlation functions as in JT were obtained

Disk partition function: $Z(\beta) = \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \, (e^{\pm 2i\theta}; q^2)_{\infty} \, e^{-\beta 2 \cos(\theta)}$ with $\rho(\theta) \sim (e^{\pm 2i\theta}; q^2)_{\infty}$ q-Pochhammer: $(a; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k)$ $q = e^{-\lambda}$

 $\int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta_{1} \rho(\theta_{1}) e^{-\tau^{2} \cos(\theta_{1})} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta_{2} \rho(\theta_{2}) e^{-(\beta-\tau)^{2} \cos(\theta_{2})} \frac{(q^{4h};q^{2})_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_{1}\pm i\theta_{2}};q^{2})_{\infty}}$

Exactly solvable lower-dimensional gravity models

 $\int_0^{\pi} d\theta_1 \,\rho(\theta_1) \, e^{-\tau^2 \cos(\theta_1)} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_2 \,\rho(\theta_2) \, e^{-(\beta-\tau)2 \cos(\theta_2)} \frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_1\pm i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}}$ where $\frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}\pm 2i\theta_1\pm 2i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{H_n(\cos(\theta_1)|q^2)}{(q^2;q^2)_n} q^{2nh} H_n(\cos(\theta_2)|q^2)$ H_n is continuous q-Hermite polynomial

 $\int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta_{1} \rho(\theta_{1}) e^{-\tau^{2} \cos(\theta_{1})} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta_{2} \rho(\theta_{2}) e^{-(\beta-\tau)^{2} \cos(\theta_{2})} \frac{(q^{4h};q^{2})_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_{1}\pm i\theta_{2}};q^{2})_{\infty}}$ where $\frac{(q^{4h};q^{2})_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}\pm^{2i\theta_{1}\pm^{2i\theta_{2}}};q^{2})_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{H_{n}(\cos(\theta_{1})|q^{2})}{(q^{2};q^{2})_{n}} q^{2nh} H_{n}(\cos(\theta_{2})|q^{2})$ H_{n} is continuous q-Hermite polynomial \rightarrow Very similar structure as JT!

 $\int_0^{\pi} d\theta_1 \,\rho(\theta_1) \, e^{-\tau^2 \cos(\theta_1)} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_2 \,\rho(\theta_2) \, e^{-(\beta-\tau)2 \cos(\theta_2)} \frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_1\pm i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}}$ where $\frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h\pm 2i\theta_1\pm 2i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{H_n(\cos(\theta_1)|q^2)}{(q^2;q^2)_n} q^{2nh} H_n(\cos(\theta_2)|q^2)$ H_n is continuous q-Hermite polynomial

 \rightarrow Very similar structure as JT!

 \rightarrow Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?)

 $\int_0^{\pi} d\theta_1 \,\rho(\theta_1) \, e^{-\tau^2 \cos(\theta_1)} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_2 \,\rho(\theta_2) \, e^{-(\beta-\tau)2 \cos(\theta_2)} \frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_1\pm i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}}$ where $\frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h\pm 2i\theta_1\pm 2i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{H_n(\cos(\theta_1)|q^2)}{(q^2;q^2)_n} q^{2nh} H_n(\cos(\theta_2)|q^2)$ H_n is continuous q-Hermite polynomial

 \rightarrow Very similar structure as JT!

→ Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?) Quantum algebra: $U_q(\mathfrak{su}(1,1))$ defined by [H, E] = E, [H, F] = -F, $[E, F] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}$ where 0 < q < 1

 $\int_0^{\pi} d\theta_1 \rho(\theta_1) e^{-\tau^2 \cos(\theta_1)} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_2 \rho(\theta_2) e^{-(\beta-\tau)2 \cos(\theta_2)} \frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_1\pm i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}}$ where $\frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h\pm 2i\theta_1\pm 2i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{H_n(\cos(\theta_1)|q^2)}{(q^2;q^2)_n} q^{2nh} H_n(\cos(\theta_2)|q^2)$ H_n is continuous q-Hermite polynomial

 \rightarrow Very similar structure as JT!

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \mbox{ Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?)} \\ \mbox{ Quantum algebra: } U_q(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)) \mbox{ defined by } \\ [H,E]=E, \quad [H,F]=-F, \quad [E,F]=\frac{q^{2H}-q^{-2H}}{q-q^{-1}} \mbox{ where } 0 < q < 1 \\ \mbox{ Quantum group: Gauss-Euler decomposition Fronsdal-Galindo '92: } \\ g=e_{q^{-2}}^{\gamma F}e^{2\phi H}e_{q^2}^{\beta E}, \qquad e_q^{\chi}\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{x^n}{[n]_q!}, \qquad [n]_q\equiv \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \end{array}$

 $\int_0^{\pi} d\theta_1 \rho(\theta_1) e^{-\tau^2 \cos(\theta_1)} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_2 \rho(\theta_2) e^{-(\beta-\tau)2 \cos(\theta_2)} \frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h}e^{\pm i\theta_1\pm i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}}$ where $\frac{(q^{4h};q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^{2h\pm 2i\theta_1\pm 2i\theta_2};q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{H_n(\cos(\theta_1)|q^2)}{(q^2;q^2)_n} q^{2nh} H_n(\cos(\theta_2)|q^2)$ H_n is continuous q-Hermite polynomial

 \rightarrow Very similar structure as JT!

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \mbox{ Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?)} \\ \mbox{ Quantum algebra: } U_q(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)) \mbox{ defined by } \\ [H,E] = E \,, \quad [H,F] = -F \,, \quad [E,F] = \frac{q^{2H}-q^{-2H}}{q-q^{-1}} \mbox{ where } 0 < q < 1 \\ \mbox{ Quantum group: Gauss-Euler decomposition Fronsdal-Galindo '92: } \\ g = e_{q^{-2}}^{\gamma F} e^{2\phi H} e_{q^2}^{\beta E} \,, \qquad e_q^{\chi} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!} \,, \qquad [n]_q \equiv \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \\ \mbox{ for non-commutative coordinates } (\gamma, \phi, \beta) \mbox{ satisfying } \\ e^{\phi}\gamma = q\gamma e^{\phi} \,, \quad e^{\phi}\beta = q\beta e^{\phi} \,, \qquad [\beta, \gamma] = 0 \end{array}$

Let's define left-regular representation by $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$:

Let's define left-regular representation by $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$: $\hat{L}_F = -\left(\frac{d}{d\gamma}\right)_{q^{-2}}, \qquad q^{\hat{L}_H} = T^{\phi}_{-\log q/2} R^{\gamma}_q$ $\hat{L}_E = -e^{-2\phi} R^{\gamma}_{q^2} \left(\frac{d}{d\beta}\right)_{q^2} T^{\phi}_{-\log q} - \gamma \frac{T^{\phi}_{\log q} - R^{\gamma}_{q^2} T^{\phi}_{-\log q}}{q - q^{-1}}$ where we used the notation $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)_q f(x) \equiv \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x},$ $T^{\times}_a f(x) = f(x + a)$ and $R^{\times}_a f(x) = f(ax)$

Let's define left-regular representation by $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$: $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{E}} = -e^{-2\phi}R_{q^2}^{\gamma}\left(\frac{d}{d\beta}\right)_{q^2}T_{-\log q}^{\phi} - \gamma \frac{T_{\log q}^{\phi} - R_{q^2}^{\gamma}T_{-\log q}^{\phi}}{q - q^{-1}}$ where we used the notation $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)_{a} f(x) \equiv \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x}$, $T_{2}^{x} f(x) = f(x+a)$ and $R_{2}^{x} f(x) = f(ax)$ \rightarrow Casimir C is difference operator $= \frac{qq^{2H}+q^{-1}q^{-2H}}{(q-q^{-1})^2} + FE =$ $\frac{qT^{\phi}_{\log q} + q^{-1}T^{\phi}_{-\log q}}{(q-q^{-1})^2} + \left(\frac{d}{d\gamma}\right)_{q-2} e^{-2\phi}R^{\gamma}_{q^2}\left(\frac{d}{d\beta}\right)_{q^2}T^{\phi}_{-\log q}$

Let's define left-regular representation by $\hat{L}_i g = -X_i g$: $\hat{L}_{F} = -\left(rac{d}{d\gamma}
ight)_{\sigma^{-2}}, \qquad q^{\hat{L}_{H}} = T^{\phi}_{-\log q/2} R^{\gamma}_{q}$ $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{E}} = -e^{-2\phi} R_{q^2}^{\gamma} \left(\frac{d}{d\beta}\right)_{z^2} T_{-\log q}^{\phi} - \gamma \frac{T_{\log q}^{\phi} - R_{q^2}^{\gamma} T_{-\log q}^{\phi}}{q - q^{-1}}$ where we used the notation $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)_{a} f(x) \equiv \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x}$, $T_{2}^{x} f(x) = f(x+a)$ and $R_{2}^{x} f(x) = f(ax)$ \rightarrow Casimir C is difference operator $= \frac{qq^{2H}+q^{-1}q^{-2H}}{(q-q^{-1})^2} + FE =$ $\frac{qT^{\phi}_{\log q} + q^{-1}T^{\phi}_{-\log q}}{(q-q^{-1})^2} + \left(\frac{d}{d\gamma}\right)_{q^{-2}} e^{-2\phi}R^{\gamma}_{q^2}\left(\frac{d}{d\beta}\right)_{q^2}T^{\phi}_{-\log q}$ \rightarrow Impose same (boundary) constraints as in JT Left eigenfunction: $q^n H_n(\cos(\theta)|q^2)$, $\phi = -n \log q$ Right eigenfunction: $\frac{q^n}{(q^2;q^2)_n} H_n(\cos(\theta)|q^2)$

 \rightarrow precisely matches with the structure of DSSYK!

Non-critical string from 2d conformal matter coupled to 2d gravity, or critical string with a 2d Liouville + matter + ghost CFT Polyakov

'81, David '88, Distler-Kawai '89 . . .

Liouville gravity: $S_L + S_M + S_{gh}$ with conformal anomaly constraint $c_M + c_L + c_{gh} = 0$ Non-critical string from 2d conformal matter coupled to 2d gravity, or critical string with a 2d Liouville + matter + ghost CFT Polyakov

'81, David '88, Distler-Kawai '89 . . .

Liouville gravity: $S_L + S_M + S_{gh}$ with conformal anomaly constraint $c_M + c_L + c_{gh} = 0$

• Liouville action:
$$S_L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \left[(\hat{\nabla}\phi)^2 + Q\hat{R}\phi + 4\pi\mu e^{2b\phi} \right]$$

 $Q = b + b^{-1}, \ c_L = 1 + 6Q^2 > 25$

Non-critical string from 2d conformal matter coupled to 2d gravity, or critical string with a 2d Liouville + matter + ghost CFT $_{\text{Polyakov}}$

'81, David '88, Distler-Kawai '89 . . .

Liouville gravity: $S_L + S_M + S_{gh}$ with conformal anomaly constraint $c_M + c_L + c_{gh} = 0$

• Liouville action:
$$S_L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \left[(\hat{\nabla}\phi)^2 + Q\hat{R}\phi + 4\pi\mu e^{2b\phi} \right]$$

 $Q = b + b^{-1}, \ c_L = 1 + 6Q^2 > 25$

•
$$S_M$$
 = arbitrary CFT with $c_M < 1$

$$lacksim S_{
m gh}$$
 is usual bc -ghost theory with $c_{
m gh}=-26$

Disk partition function and boundary two-point function

Amplitudes determined in TM-Turiaci '20 using older results of Zamolodchikov² et al. **Disk partition function**:

$$Z(\beta) = \beta = \int_0^\infty ds \sinh(2\pi bs) \sinh\left(\frac{2\pi s}{b}\right) e^{-\beta \cosh(2\pi bs)}$$

Disk partition function and boundary two-point function

Amplitudes determined in TM-Turiaci '20 using older results of Zamolodchikov² et al. **Disk partition function**:

$$Z(\beta) = \beta \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ds \sinh(2\pi bs) \sinh\left(\frac{2\pi s}{b}\right) e^{-\beta \cosh(2\pi bs)} \right)$$

Boundary two-point function:

$$\mathcal{B} \underbrace{\tau}_{\beta - \tau} \mathcal{B} \qquad \mathcal{B} = c \, \Phi_M e^{\beta_L \phi}$$

$$\sim \int_0^{+\infty} ds_1 ds_2 \rho(s_1) \rho(s_2) e^{-\cosh 2\pi b s_1 \tau} e^{-\cosh 2\pi b s_2(\beta-\tau)} \frac{S_b(h\pm i s_1\pm i s_2)}{S_b(2h)}$$

where $h = b - \beta_L$, S_b is double sine function
 $\rho(s) \sim \sinh(2\pi b s) \sinh\left(\frac{2\pi s}{b}\right)$

where
$$\frac{S_b(h\pm i s_1\pm i s_2)}{S_b(2h)} \sim \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \; R^*_{s_2}(x) e^{2h\pi x} R_{s_1}(x)$$
 TM-Turiaci '20

where
$$R_s(x) = e^{\pi i 2sx} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\zeta}{(2\pi b)^{-2i\zeta/b-2is/b}} S_b(-i\zeta) S_b(-i2s-i\zeta) e^{-\pi i \epsilon(\zeta^2+2s\zeta)} e^{2\pi i \zeta x}$$

where
$$\frac{S_b(h\pm i s_1\pm i s_2)}{S_b(2h)} \sim \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \; R^*_{s_2}(x) e^{2h\pi x} R_{s_1}(x)$$
 TM-Turiaci '20

where $R_{s}(x) = e^{\pi i 2sx} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\zeta}{(2\pi b)^{-2i\zeta/b-2is/b}} S_{b}(-i\zeta) S_{b}(-i2s - i\zeta) e^{-\pi i\epsilon(\zeta^{2}+2s\zeta)} e^{2\pi i\zeta x}$ \rightarrow Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?)

where
$$\frac{S_b(h\pm is_1\pm is_2)}{S_b(2h)}\sim\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dx\;R^*_{s_2}(x)e^{2h\pi x}R_{s_1}(x)$$
 TM-Turiaci '20

where $R_s(x) = e^{\pi i 2sx} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\zeta}{(2\pi b)^{-2i\zeta/b-2is/b}} S_b(-i\zeta) S_b(-i2s - i\zeta) e^{-\pi i \epsilon(\zeta^2 + 2s\zeta)} e^{2\pi i \zeta x}$ \rightarrow Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?) Based on so-called modular double of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ quantum algebra Teschner ... Quantum algebra: Two (commuting) copies of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ quantum algebra [H, E] = E, [H, F] = -F, $[E, F] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}$ with $q = e^{\pi i b^2}$ and $\tilde{q} = e^{\pi i b^{-2}}$

where
$$\frac{S_b(h\pm is_1\pm is_2)}{S_b(2h)}\sim\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dx\;R^*_{s_2}(x)e^{2h\pi x}R_{s_1}(x)$$
 TM-Turiaci '20

where $R_s(x) =$ $e^{\pi i 2sx} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\zeta}{(2\pi b)^{-2i\zeta/b-2is/b}} S_b(-i\zeta) S_b(-i2s-i\zeta) e^{-\pi i \epsilon(\zeta^2+2s\zeta)} e^{2\pi i \zeta x}$ \rightarrow Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?) Based on so-called modular double of $U_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ quantum algebra Teschner ... **Quantum algebra**: Two (commuting) copies of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ quantum algebra [H, E] = E, [H, F] = -F, $[E, F] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}$ with $a = e^{\pi i b^2}$ and $\tilde{a} = e^{\pi i b^{-2}}$ **Quantum group**: $g = g_b(\gamma f) e^{2\phi H} g_b^*(\beta e)$ TM '22 where g_b is Faddeev's quantum dilogarithm, $e = (2 \sin \pi b^2) E$ and $f = (2\sin \pi b^2)F$

where
$$\frac{S_b(h\pm is_1\pm is_2)}{S_b(2h)}\sim\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dx\;R^*_{s_2}(x)e^{2h\pi x}R_{s_1}(x)$$
 TM-Turiaci '20

where $R_s(x) = e^{\pi i 2sx} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\zeta}{(2\pi b)^{-2i\zeta/b-2is/b}} S_b(-i\zeta) S_b(-i2s - i\zeta) e^{-\pi i \epsilon(\zeta^2 + 2s\zeta)} e^{2\pi i \zeta x}$ \rightarrow Explain explicitly (i.e. are the blue functions rep. matrices?)

Based on so-called modular double of $\mathsf{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ quantum algebra $_{\mathsf{Teschner}}$...

Quantum algebra: Two (commuting) copies of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ quantum algebra [H, E] = E, [H, F] = -F, $[E, F] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}$ with $q = e^{\pi i b^2}$ and $\tilde{q} = e^{\pi i b^{-2}}$ **Quantum group**: $g = g_b(\gamma f) e^{2\phi H} g_b^*(\beta e) \mod g_b$ is Faddeev's quantum dilogarithm, $e = (2 \sin \pi b^2)E$ and $f = (2 \sin \pi b^2)F$

 \rightarrow Above blue function $R_s(x)$ is indeed eigenfunction of associated Casimir eigenvalue problem

One can argue for bulk descriptions of all three models in terms of **Poisson-sigma model** = generalization of BF-model with non-linear symmetry algebra

One can argue for bulk descriptions of all three models in terms of **Poisson-sigma model** = generalization of BF-model with non-linear symmetry algebra Can be written, in turn, as dilaton gravity model with either sine dilaton potential (DSSYK) or sinh dilaton potential (Liouville gravity)

One can argue for bulk descriptions of all three models in terms of **Poisson-sigma model** = generalization of BF-model with non-linear symmetry algebra Can be written, in turn, as dilaton gravity model with either sine dilaton potential (DSSYK) or sinh dilaton potential (Liouville gravity)

Leads to unconventional models of holography that are not aAdS:

- The sinh dilaton gravity model has a curvature singularity at the boundary
- The sine dilaton gravity model can lead to positively curved regions in spacetime, allowing us to implement dS physics within the UV-complete model of DSSYK

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

• Amplitudes in JT gravity governed by $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

➤ Amplitudes in JT gravity governed by SL(2, ℝ) Physics: Two-sided gravitational wavefunctions are Hamiltonian eigenstates Math: They are representation matrices that solve the Casimir eigenvalue problem

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

- ➤ Amplitudes in JT gravity governed by SL(2, ℝ) Physics: Two-sided gravitational wavefunctions are Hamiltonian eigenstates Math: They are representation matrices that solve the Casimir eigenvalue problem
- Amplitudes in double-scaled SYK governed by $SU_q(1,1)$
- ► Amplitudes in Liouville gravity governed by modular double of SL_q(2, ℝ)

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

- ➤ Amplitudes in JT gravity governed by SL(2, ℝ) Physics: Two-sided gravitational wavefunctions are Hamiltonian eigenstates Math: They are representation matrices that solve the Casimir eigenvalue problem
- Amplitudes in double-scaled SYK governed by $SU_q(1,1)$
- ► Amplitudes in Liouville gravity governed by modular double of SL_q(2, ℝ)

 \rightarrow Defined and constructed regular representation of these quantum groups and showed that the resulting Casimir equation determines the two-boundary gravitational wavefunctions

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

- ➤ Amplitudes in JT gravity governed by SL(2, ℝ) Physics: Two-sided gravitational wavefunctions are Hamiltonian eigenstates Math: They are representation matrices that solve the Casimir eigenvalue problem
- Amplitudes in double-scaled SYK governed by $SU_q(1,1)$
- ► Amplitudes in Liouville gravity governed by modular double of SL_q(2, ℝ)

 \rightarrow Defined and constructed regular representation of these quantum groups and showed that the resulting Casimir equation determines the two-boundary gravitational wavefunctions \rightarrow Unified description in terms of dilaton gravity models with different dilaton potentials

Discussed three gravitational models that share a lot of structure

- ➤ Amplitudes in JT gravity governed by SL(2, ℝ) Physics: Two-sided gravitational wavefunctions are Hamiltonian eigenstates Math: They are representation matrices that solve the Casimir eigenvalue problem
- Amplitudes in double-scaled SYK governed by $SU_q(1,1)$
- ► Amplitudes in Liouville gravity governed by modular double of SL_q(2, ℝ)

 \rightarrow Defined and constructed regular representation of these quantum groups and showed that the resulting Casimir equation determines the two-boundary gravitational wavefunctions \rightarrow Unified description in terms of dilaton gravity models with different dilaton potentials

Thank you!